# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1584-02

Bill No.: HCS for HB 660

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Probation and Parole; Counties; Cities, Towns, and

Villages

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 30, 2011

Bill Summary: This proposal allows certain cities to create, maintain and make public a

list of the names of persons who have pleaded guilty to or been found

guilty of patronizing prostitution.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 1584-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 660

Page 2 of 4 March 30, 2011

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 1584-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 660

Page 3 of 4 March 30, 2011

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the County of St. Louis, City of Kansas City, City of Raytown, Department of Corrections and the Office of State Courts Administrator assume that there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

In response to the previous version of this bill (1584-01), officials from the **City of Raytown** assumed that there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

The following cities did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact: **Clayton**, **Florissant**, **Frontenac**, **Independence**, **Ladue**, **Lee Summit**, **St. Louis** and **Sugar Creek**.

Officials from Jackson County did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal is discretionary and would have no local fiscal impact without action by the governing body.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

KG:LR:OD

L.R. No. 1584-02 Bill No. HCS for HB 660 Page 4 of 4 March 30, 2011

## **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Corrections Office of State Courts Administrator Office of Attorney General County of St. Louis City of Kansas City City of Raytown

## **NOT RESPONDING**

Office of Prosecution Services
State Public Defender's Office
Jackson County
Clayton
Florissant
Frontenac
Independence
Ladue
Lee Summit
St. Louis
Sugar Creek

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 30, 2011